1. (2 points) The associative law for intersection states that $A \cap (B \cap C) = (A \cap B) \cap C$) for any sets A, B, and C. Verify this law by reducing it to the associative law for propositional logic.

Answer:

$A \cap (B \cap C) = \{x \mid x \in A \cap (B \cap C)\}$	(Definition of \cap)
$= \{x \mid (x \in A) \land ((x \in B) \land (x \in C))\}$	(Definition of \cap)
$= \{x \mid ((x \in A) \land (x \in B)) \land (x \in C)\}$	(Associative law for logic)
$= \{x \mid ((x \in A \cap B)) \land (x \in C)\}$	(Definition of \cap)
$= (A \cap B) \cap C$	$(\text{Definition of} \cap)$

2. (3 points) Let a, b, and c be values of type int given as hexadecimal numbers in Java as

a = 0xABCD1234
b = 0x5678EF09
c = 0xFFFF

Find the values of the following Java expressions, writing the answers as hexadecimal numbers. Do not just give the value, which you could get Java to compute for you; show enough work or explain your reasoning, to show how the answer is computed.

a) (a << 16) | (b >>> 16) b) a & (c << 16) c) (a & (c << 16)) | (b & c)

Answer:

- a << 16 shifts a 16 bits to the left which is four hexadecimal digits, filling in with zeros on the right, giving 0x12340000. b >>> 16 shifts a 16 bits to the rightwhich is four hexadecimal digits, filling in with zeros on the left, giving 0x00005678. When those two numbers are combined with a bitwise or operation, or'ing with zero has no effect, and so the value of (a << 16) | (b >>> 16) is 0x12345678.
- b) By similar reasoning, c << 16 is 0xFFFF0000. Since and ing with 1 has no effect and and ing with 0 results in zero, a & (c << 16) is 0xABCD0000.
- c) b & c is 0x0000EF09. (Note that 0xFFFF is still a 32-bit number, which can be written in full as 0x0000FFFF.) When the answer from part b) is or'ed with b & c, the result is 0xABCDEF09.
- **3.** (4 points) Consider the two 16-bit integers n and m shown below. First, compute the three 16-bit integers n, and n & m, and $n \mid m$. Then, what subset of $\{15, 14, \ldots, 1, 0\}$ does each of the integers n, m, n, n & m, and $n \mid m$ correspond to? (Write out each set in full using the usual set notation.)

 $n = 1001 \ 1101 \ 1000 \ 0101$ $m = 0101 \ 1001 \ 1100 \ 0111$

Answer:

$n = 1001 \ 1101 \ 1000 \ 0101$	$\{15, 12, 11, 10, 8, 7, 2, 0\}$
$m = 0101 \ 1001 \ 1100 \ 0111$	$\{14, 12, 11, 8, 7, 6, 2, 1, 0\}$
$n = 0110 \ 0010 \ 0111 \ 1010$	$\{14, 13, 9, 6, 5, 4, 3, 1\}$
$n \& m = 0001 \ 1001 \ 1000 \ 0101$	$\{12, 11, 8, 7, 2, 0\}$
$n \mid m = 1101 \ 1101 \ 1100 \ 0111$	$\{15, 14, 12, 11, 10, 8, 7, 6, 2, 1, 0\}$

4. (3 points) What is computed by the following method? (Hint: Write N in binary!) Explain your answer.

```
int countSomething( int N ) {
    int ct = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i <= 31; i++) {
        if ( (N & 1) == 1 ) {
            ct++;
        }
        N = N >>> 1;
    }
    return ct;
}
```

Answer:

When N is written as a binary number, it is made up of 1's and 0's. This function counts the number of 1's in that binary expansion of N. (If you think of N as representing a subset of $\{31, 30, 29, \ldots, 1, 0\}$, then the function computes the cardinality of that subset.)

The test if ((N & 1) == 1) tests whether the rightmost bit in N is 1, and if so the value of ct is incremented. The assignment N = N >>> 1 shifts N one bit to the right, so that the next time through the loop, the next bit from the original N is being tested. This is done 32 times, so that every bit from the original N is tested, and ct is incremented one for each bit that is a 1.

5. (2 points) Describe the set $\{1, 2, 3\} \times \mathbb{N}$. Show that you understand its structure.

Answer:

This set is similar to three copies of \mathbb{N} , one for each value in the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$, From the 1 we get elements of $\{1, 2, 3\} \times \mathbb{N}$ of the form $(1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), \ldots$, with one element for each number in \mathbb{N} . From the 2, we get $(2, 0), (2, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4), \ldots$ And similarly for the 3. We could write out the whole set using set notation with ellipses as

$$\{1, 2, 3\} \times \mathbb{N} = \{ (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), \dots, \\ (2, 0), (2, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4), \dots, \\ (3, 0), (3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), \dots \}$$

6. (5 points)

a) Consider the function $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by f(n) = n + 1. Is f a one-to-one function? Is f an onto function? Justify your answers.

b) Now, consider the function $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ given by g(n) = n + 1. Is g a one-to-one function? Is g an onto function? Justify your answers.

Answer:

- a) f is one-to-one. Suppose f(n) = f(m). This means n + 1 = m + 1, which implies n = m. [Here, I've shown that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, if f(n) = f(m), then n = m. This is the definition of one-to-one.] It is onto, since given $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can let n = m - 1, which is in \mathbb{Z} , and then f(n) = m. [Here, I've shown that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that f(n) = m. This is the definition of onto.]
- **b)** g is one-to-one by an argument identical to the proof that f is one-to-one. However, g is not onto, since there is no $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that f(n) = 0. This follows from the fact that since $n \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then f(n) = n + 1 > 0; so it is impossible that f(n) = 0. [The proof here shows that it is not the case that $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n) = m$. The disproof is by giving the counterexample m = 0.]