## Math 135, Fall 2019, Homework 3 Answers

2.1.2 This is a statement. It is true because integers are real numbers.
2.1.4 This is not a statement. It's a noun. It doesn't say anything about $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{N}$. A related statement would be " $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ are sets."
2.1.8 This is a statement. It is false because $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is the power set of $\mathbb{N}$. Every subset of $\mathbb{N}$ is an element of $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{N})$, and $\mathbb{N}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{N}$.
2.1.10 This is a statement. It is true: $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}$ contains ordered pairs $(a, n)$, where the first coordinate is any real number and the second coordinate is a natural number. And similarly, $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ contains ordered pairs $(n, a)$, where the first coordinate is in $\mathbb{N}$ and the second coordinate is in $\mathbb{R}$. To be in the intersection, an ordered pair $(x, y)$ must have both first and second coordinate in $\mathbb{N}$. That is, $(x, y)$ must be in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.
2.3.2 If a function is differentiable, then it is continuous.
2.3.4 If a function is a polynomial, then it is rational.
2.3.10 If the discriminant is negative, then the quadratic equation has no real roots.
2.4.2 A functions has a constant derivative if and only if it is linear.
2.4.4 $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $5 a \in \mathbb{Q}$.
2.6.2 The fact that the columns for $P \vee(Q \wedge R)$ and $(P \vee Q) \wedge(P \vee R)$ are identical proves that the two expressions are logically equivalent:

| $P$ | $Q$ | $R$ | $Q \wedge R$ | $P \vee(Q \wedge R)$ | $P \vee Q$ | $P \vee R$ | $(P \vee Q) \wedge(P \vee R)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| T | T | F | F | T | T | T | T |
| T | F | T | F | T | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | F | T | T | T | T |
| F | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| F | T | F | F | F | T | F | F |
| F | F | T | F | F | F | T | F |
| F | F | F | F | F | F | F | F |

2.6.8 The fact that the columns for $(\sim P) \Leftrightarrow Q$ and $(P \Rightarrow(\sim Q)) \wedge((\sim Q) \Rightarrow P)$ are identical proves that the two expressions are logically equivalent:

| $P$ | $Q$ | $\sim P$ | $\sim Q$ | $(\sim P) \Leftrightarrow Q$ | $P \Rightarrow(\sim Q)$ | $(\sim Q) \Rightarrow P$ | $(P \Rightarrow(\sim Q)) \wedge((\sim Q) \Rightarrow P)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | F | F | F | F | T | F |
| T | F | F | T | T | T | T | T |
| F | T | T | F | T | T | T | T |
| F | F | T | T | F | T | F | F |

2.6.10 The fact that the columns for $(P \Rightarrow Q) \vee R$ and $\sim((P \wedge \sim Q) \wedge(\sim R))$ are identical proves that the two expressions are logically equivalent:

| $P$ | $Q$ | $R$ | $P \Rightarrow Q$ | $(P \Rightarrow Q) \vee R$ | $(P \wedge \sim Q) \wedge(\sim R)$ | $\sim((P \wedge \sim Q) \wedge(\sim R))$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T | T | T | F | T |
| T | T | F | T | T | F | T |
| T | F | T | F | T | F | T |
| T | F | F | F | F | T | F |
| F | T | T | T | T | F | T |
| F | T | F | T | T | F | T |
| F | F | T | T | T | F | T |
| F | F | F | T | T | F | T |

To show another way of doing this kind of problem, I will use the laws of logic to show that the second expression is equivalent to the first: $\sim((P \wedge \sim Q) \wedge(\sim R)) \equiv$ $(\sim(P \wedge \sim Q)) \vee \sim(\sim R) \equiv((\sim P) \vee \sim(\sim Q)) \vee R \equiv((\sim P) \vee Q) \vee R \equiv(P \Rightarrow Q) \vee R$
2.6.12 The fact that the columns for $\sim(P \Rightarrow Q)$ and $P \wedge \sim Q$ are identical proves that the two expressions are logically equivalent:

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P \Rightarrow \sim Q$ | $\sim(P \Rightarrow Q)$ | $\sim Q$ | $P \wedge(\sim Q)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T | F | F | F |
| T | F | F | T | T | T |
| F | T | T | F | F | F |
| F | F | T | F | T | F |

2.6.14 The fact that the columns for $P \wedge(Q \vee \sim Q)$ and $(\sim P) \Rightarrow(Q \wedge \sim Q)$ are identical proves that the two expressions are logically equivalent:

| $P$ | $Q$ | $\sim Q$ | $Q \vee \sim Q$ | $P \wedge(Q \vee \sim Q)$ | $\sim P$ | $Q \wedge \sim Q$ | $(\sim P) \Rightarrow(Q \wedge \sim Q)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | F | T | T | F | F | T |
| T | F | T | T | T | F | F | T |
| F | T | F | T | F | T | F | F |
| F | F | T | T | F | T | F | F |

2.7.2 For every real number $x$, there is a natural number $n$ such that $x^{n} \geq 0$. This is true since $x^{2} \geq 0$ for any real number $x$. That is, we can take $n=2$ in all cases.
2.7.4 Any element of $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Or, any subset of $\mathbb{N}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}$. This is true since $\mathbb{N}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}$; that is, every natural number is a real number. So it's true that any set of natural numbers is a set of real numbers.
2.7.6 There is a natural number $n$ such that any element of $\mathscr{P}(X)$ has cardinality less than $n$. Or, for some natural number $n$, all subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ have cardinality less than $n$. This is false since there are subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ with arbitrarily large cardinality.

